



THE SOCIETY FOR
VASCULAR TECHNOLOGY OF
GREAT BRITAIN AND IRELAND

Score Report for the **Ultrasound Physics Haemodynamics and Instrumentation** Theory Examination

Candidate: MARINA SEALEY

Certification#: 265479

Candidate #: 277904036

Examination: Ultrasound Physics Haemodynamics and Instrumentation

Examination Date: October 29, 2020

Examination Site: 48891

Examination Result: PASS

You passed the Ultrasound Physics Haemodynamics and Instrumentation Theory Examination

If your contact information (i.e., address, phone, or email) has changed, please log into your MY ARDMS account to update your information as soon as possible to ensure your record is up to date.

For questions or concerns regarding your testing experience with Pearson VUE, please contact SVTGBI at theoryexam@svtgbi.org.uk

Scaled Score Details

<u>Examination</u>	<u>Passing Score</u>	<u>Your Score</u>
Ultrasound Physics Haemodynamics and Instrumentation	555	621

The number of items you answered correctly on this exam has been converted to a final scaled score. Scaled scores range from 300 to 700. A minimum scaled score of 555 is required to pass the examination.

For more information on scaled scoring, please visit www.ARDMS.org/scaledscore.

Important note: This score report is intended solely to inform candidates of their examination results and should not be used by a candidate, employers or others for any other purpose. A score report is not indicative of being accredited. In addition to passing all required examinations, a candidate must meet all other program requirements in order to be accredited by SVTGBI. Examination scores may be suspended, voided or otherwise invalidated after becoming official if SVTGBI discovers errors or evidence of cheating or other improper activity.

Employers and others interested in verifying an individual's accreditation status can do so by contacting theoryexam@svtgbi.org.uk

Score Report for the **Ultrasound Physics Haemodynamics and Instrumentation Theory Examination****Content Area Evaluation****Candidate:** MARINA SEALEY**Examination:** Ultrasound Physics Haemodynamics and Instrumentation

The following indicates your relative performance on each content domain on this examination.

Content Area

1. Principles of Ultrasound, Transducers and Instrumentation 35%
2. Principles of Ultrasound Imaging 35%
3. Haemodynamics, Physiology and Fluid Dynamics 20%
4. Quality Assurance and Ultrasound Safety 10%

Evaluation

- Satisfactory
Satisfactory
May Require Further Study
May Require Further Study

Content area evaluations are only meant to give general guidance to the Candidate on performance within a specific content area and solely reflect a candidate's performance on the examination.

Evaluation**% of Questions Answered Correctly**

- | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|
| Excellent | = 95% or more |
| Satisfactory | = 80% - 94% |
| May Require Further Study | = 61% - 79% |
| Requires Further Study | = 60% or below |

Please note due to the different weighting of content areas within an examination, a total, or average, of content evaluations does not directly correlate to pass/fail scores.

Important note: This score report is intended solely to inform candidates of their examination results and should not be used by a candidate, employers or others for any other purpose. A score report is not indicative of being accredited. In addition to passing all required examinations, a candidate must meet all other program requirements in order to be accredited by SVTGBI. Examination scores may be suspended, voided or otherwise invalidated after becoming official if SVTGBI discovers errors or evidence of cheating or other improper activity.

Employers and others interested in verifying an individual's accreditation status can do so by contacting theoryexam@svtgbi.org.uk

Examination Result: PASS

You passed the Vascular Technology Theory Examination.

For questions or concerns regarding your testing experience with Pearson VUE, please contact the ARDMS Customer Care Team by telephone at 1-800-541-9754 or by email: appeals@Inteleos.org.

If your contact information (i.e., name, address, phone, fax, or email) has changed, please log into your MY ARDMS account to update your information as soon as possible to ensure your ARDMS record is up to date.

Important note: This score report is intended solely to inform candidates of their examination results and should not be used by a candidate, employers or others for any other purpose. A score report is not indicative of being certified. In addition to passing all required examinations, a candidate must meet all other program requirements in order to be credentialed by ARDMS. Examination scores may be suspended, voided or otherwise invalidated after becoming official if ARDMS discovers errors or evidence of cheating or other improper activity.

Employers and others interested in verifying an individual's certification status can do so by visiting www.ARDMS.org/statusverification.

Please remember that, by submitting your application for this examination, you agreed to the www.ARDMS.org/Confidentiality-Agreement. Failure to comply could result in disciplinary action pursuant to ARDMS Compliance Policies.

©2019. Inteleos. All rights reserved. ARDMS® and APCA™ are Councils of Inteleos™, Inc.

Scaled Score Details

Passing Score: 555

Your Score: 634

The number of items you answered correctly on this exam has been converted to a final scaled score. Scaled scores range from 300 to 700. A minimum scaled score of 555 is required to pass the examination. For more information on scaled scoring, please visit www.ardms.org/home/scaled-scoring/.

The following indicates your relative performance on each content domain of this examination.

<u>Content Area</u>	<u>Evaluation</u>
1. Gross Anatomy and Physiology (10%)	Requires Further Study
2. Test Validation (3%)	Excellent
3. Peripheral Arterial Disease (28%)	Satisfactory
4. Cerebral Arterial Disease (28%)	Satisfactory
5. Venous Disease (28%)	Excellent
6. Other Conditions (2%)	Excellent
7. Nice Guidelines to Include DVT, Arterial Disease and Carotids (1%)	Excellent

Content area evaluations are only meant to give general guidance to the Candidate on performance within a specific content area and solely reflect a candidate's performance on the exam.

Evaluation% of Questions Answered Correctly

Excellent= 95% or more

Satisfactory.....= 80% - 94%

May Require Further Study.....= 61% - 79%

Requires Further Study.....= 60% or below

Please note due to the different weighting of content areas within an examination, a total, or average, of content evaluations does not directly correlate to pass/fail scores.

The information in this report is provided for your information only and is not intended for commercial employment or other purposes.

